

COURTS IN COCHISE COUNTY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Enable full e-filing of civil cases online, update content of websites, and enable self service center access.
- Continue to expand videoconferencing for remote court reporter and interpreter services.
- Reduce physical record storage needs; improve electronic records exchange and integration.
- Implement 2FID electronic fingerprinting.
- Decrease limited jurisdiction court staff workload associated with data entry; convert data and implement LJ AJACS; continue e-citation and electronic payments via the Web, accomplish electronic records exchange with DPS in justice courts.
- Improve network bandwidth in Bowie.

CY 2015/6 COURT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Relocated various superior court offices, Juvenile Probation, and CASA; consolidated Douglas Justice and Magistrate courts.
- Upgraded videoconferencing system in 3 Superior Court divisions; installed remote interpreter system in 2 divisions.
- Implemented eBench in 6 superior court divisions.
- Implemented JOLTSaz juvenile management system.
- Replaced county Juvenile Probation PCs with state devices.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS, CONCERNS, AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMS	Looking to reduce staff workload attributed to rekeying data; have jury financial functions outside AZTEC; will be late adopters.
JOLTSaz	Looking for same level of capability as with GJ court implementation of AJACS; desire financial integration with AJACS; already implemented.
e-Filing/Std Forms	OnBase version needs to be upgraded first to address doctype mismatches; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Bench Automation	Already have populated and implemented eBench in 6 divisions.
LJ Case Worksheet	New item; no input received.

RISKS AND CONCERNS (SECURITY AND ARCHITECTURE)

- Juvenile and Adult Probation MS-Access databases all converted to MS-Excel.
- EA projects addressed retirement items in previous plan; plans now exist for containment category items.
- Some financial products (QuickBooks/Quicken) remain outside AJACS for LJ courts and in adult probation.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
e-Filing Civil Documents	FY20		X	X	Superior Court.
Justice Web Interface	FY20		X		Superior Court, Adult Probation
Outsource Interpreter Services	FY19			X	Superior Court, JP courts videoconference
AJACS GJ Enhancements	FY18	X			Superior Court
LJ CMS Project	FY20	X			LJ Courts
Court Reporting Through Video / Internet	FY20		X		Remote court reporters
New Audio System	FY20		X		Division IV last remaining courtroom
New Videoconferencing System	FY20		X		Superior Court and some LJ courts
Server Update / Replacement	FY20			X	EA Required
Electronic Fingerprinting	FY18	X			Superior Court
Expand Remote Court Reporting	FY20		X		Superior Court 2 additional divisions
Increase Network Speed	FY18		X		Bowie Justice Court

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Server Access	FY20		X		Adult & Juvenile Probation

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.